

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,120	BRYANT, GRAHAM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Upton	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Roger Rathbun.

Date of Interview: 9/20/2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Preus

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1, 12 and 23 to clarify that the opening between the high and low flow paths is an overflow opening, to patentably distinguish over Preus.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)